arXiv:math/0204306v1 [math.AG] 24 Apr 2002

THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF VARIETIES IS NOT A DOMAIN

BJORN POONEN

1. The Grothendieck ring of varieties

Let k be a field. By a k-variety we mean a geometrically reduced, separated scheme of finite type over k. Let $\mathcal{V}_k$ denote the category of k-varieties. Let $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ denote the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of k-varieties, modulo all relations of the form $[X - Y] = [X] - [Y]$ where Y is a closed k-subvariety of a k-variety X. Here, and from now on, $[X]$ denotes the class of X in $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$. The operation $[X] \cdot [Y] := [X \times_k Y]$ is well-defined, and makes $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ a commutative ring with 1. It is known as the *Grothendieck ring of k-varieties*. A completed localization of $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ is needed for the theory of *motivic integration*, which has many applications: see [Loo00] for a survey.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that k is a field of characteristic zero. Then $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$ is not a domain.*

Remark. We conjecture that the result holds also for fields k of characteristic p. But we use a result whose proof relies on resolution of singularities and weak factorization of birational maps, which are known only in characteristic zero.

2. Abelian varieties of GL_2-type

If A is an abelian variety over a field k_0, and k is a field extension of k_0, then $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of the base extension $A_k := A \times_{k_0} k$, that is, the ring of endomorphisms defined over k.

Lemma 2. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let $\overline{k}$ denote an algebraic closure. There exists an abelian variety A over k such that $\mathrm{End}_k(A) = \mathrm{End}_{\overline{k}}(A) \simeq \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of a number field of class number 2.*

Let us precede the proof of Lemma 2 with a few paragraphs of motivation. Our strategy will be to find a single abelian variety A over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that the base extension A_k works over k.

Suppose that A is a nonzero abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}\, A$ be its Lie algebra, which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of dimension $\dim A$. If $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is an order in a number field F, then the $\mathcal{O}$-action makes $\mathrm{Lie}\, A$ a vector space over $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = F$; hence $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{Lie}\, A = \dim A$. If moreover equality holds, then A is said to be of GL_2-*type*. (The terminology is due to the following: If A is of GL_2-type, then the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on a Tate module $T_\ell A$ can be viewed as a representation $\rho_\ell : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.)

Date: April 15, 2002.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A10; Secondary 14G35.

Key words and phrases. Grothendieck ring of varieties, modular abelian variety, stable birational equivalence, Albanese variety.

This research was supported by NSF grant DMS-9801104, and a Packard Fellowship.

Because $\mathbb{Q}$ has class number 1, we must take $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 2$ to find an A over $\mathbb{Q}$ as in Lemma 2. The inequality $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \dim A$ then forces $\dim A \geq 2$. Moreover, if we want $\dim A = 2$, then A must be of GL_2-type.

Abelian varieties of GL_2-type are closely connected to modular forms. For each $N \geq 1$, let $\Gamma_1(N)$ denote the classical modular group, let $X_1(N)$ denote the corresponding modular curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $J_1(N)$ be the Jacobian of $X_1(N)$. G. Shimura [Shi71, Theorem 7.14], [Shi73] attached to each weight-2 newform f on $\Gamma_1(N)$ an abelian variety quotient A_f of $J_1(N)$. It is known that $\dim A_f = [F : \mathbb{Q}]$, where F is the number field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the Fourier coefficients of f. These coefficients can also be identified with the endomorphisms of A_f induced by the Hecke correspondences on $X_1(N)$; hence A_f is GL_2-type. Conversely, it is conjectured that each abelian variety of GL_2-type is $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to some A_f. See [Rib92] for more details. The $\dim A = 1$ case of this conjecture is the statement that elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ are modular, which is known [BCDT01].

Therefore we are led to consider A_f of dimension 2, where f is a newform as above.

Proof of Lemma 2. Tables [Ste] show that there exists a weight-2 newform $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ on $\Gamma_0(276)$ (hence also on $\Gamma_1(276)$) such that $\mathbb{Q}(\{a_n : n \geq 1\}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$, $a_{17} = 4 - \sqrt{10}$, and $a_{19} = 2 + \sqrt{10}$. Let $A = A_f$ be the corresponding abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $\dim A = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Also, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is an order of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$ containing $4 - \sqrt{10}$, so $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is the maximal order $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$. The class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})$ is 2.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{End}_k(A) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$ for any field extension k of $\mathbb{Q}$. For any place of k at which A has good reduction, $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$ injects into the endomorphism ring of the reduction. We will use this to bound $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$. The abelian variety A has good reduction at all primes not dividing 276, so in particular it has good reduction at 17 and 19. Let A_{17} and A_{19} denote the resulting abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{19}$. The places 17 and 19 of $\mathbb{Q}$ extend to places of k taking values in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{17}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{19}$. Thus $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$ injects into $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{17}}(A_{17})$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{19}}(A_{19})$.

By the work of Eichler and Shimura (see Theorem 4 in D. Rohrlich's article in [CSS97]), the characteristic polynomial $P_{17}(x)$ of Frobenius on A_{17} equals

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10})/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2 - a_{17}x + 17) = x^4 - 8x^3 + 40x^2 - 136x + 289.$$

This is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$, and its middle coefficient is prime to 17, so A_{17} is a simple ordinary abelian surface. Checking the criterion in [HZ02] (see especially Theorem 6 and the last paragraph of Section 2), we find that $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{17}}(A_{17}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x]/(P_{17}(x))$. Similarly, $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{19}}(A_{19}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[x]/(P_{19}(x))$. The ratio of the discriminants of $P_{17}(x)$ and $P_{19}(x)$ is not a square in $\mathbb{Q}$, so $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(P_{17}(x))$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(P_{19}(x))$ are *distinct* number fields of degree 4. But $\mathrm{End}_k(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ embeds into both, so $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathrm{End}_k(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \leq 2$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}] \subseteq \mathrm{End}_k(A)$, so $\mathrm{End}_k(A) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$. □

Remark. The case $k = \mathbb{C}$ of Lemma 2 has an easy proof: let A be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

3. Abelian varieties and projective modules

Let A be an abelian variety over a field k, and let $\mathcal{O} = \mathrm{End}_k(A)$. Given a finite-rank projective right $\mathcal{O}$-module M, we define an abelian variety $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ as follows: choose a finite presentation $\mathcal{O}^m \to \mathcal{O}^n \to M \to 0$, and let $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$ be the cokernel of the homomorphism

$A^m \to A^n$ defined by the matrix that gives $\mathcal{O}^m \to \mathcal{O}^n$. It is straightforward to check that this is independent of the presentation, and that $M \mapsto (M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A)$ defines a fully faithful functor T from the category of finite-rank projective right $\mathcal{O}$-modules to the category of abelian varieties over k. (Essentially the same construction is discussed in the appendix by J.-P. Serre in [Lau01].)

Lemma 3. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. There exist abelian varieties A and B over k such that $A \times A \simeq B \times B$ but $A_{\overline{k}} \not\simeq B_{\overline{k}}$.*

Proof. Let A and $\mathcal{O}$ be as in Lemma 2. Let I be a nonprincipal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a Dedekind domain, the isomorphism type of a direct sum of fractional ideals $I_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus I_n$ is determined exactly by the nonnegative integer n and the product of the classes of the I_i in the class group $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O})$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$, we have $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \simeq I \oplus I$ as $\mathcal{O}$-modules. Applying the functor T yields $A \times A \simeq B \times B$, where $B := I \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\overline{k}}(A)$ also equals $\mathcal{O}$, we have $B_{\overline{k}} = I \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A_{\overline{k}}$. Since T for $\overline{k}$ is fully faithful, $A_{\overline{k}} \not\simeq B_{\overline{k}}$. □

4. Rings related to the Grothendieck ring of varieties

For any extension of fields $k \subseteq k'$, there is a ring homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}_{k'})$ mapping $[X]$ to $[X_{k'}]$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Smooth, projective, geometrically integral k-varieties X and Y are called *stably birational* if $X \times \mathbb{P}^m$ is birational to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^n$ for some integers $m, n \geq 0$. The set SB_k of equivalence classes of this relation is a monoid under product of varieties over k. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{SB}_k]$ denote the corresponding monoid ring.

When $k = \mathbb{C}$, there is a unique ring homomorphism $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{SB}_k]$ mapping the class of any smooth projective integral variety to its stable birational class [LL01]. (In fact, this homomorphism is surjective, and its kernel is the ideal generated by $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1]$.) The proof in [LL01] requires resolution of singularities and weak factorization of birational maps [AKMW00, Theorem 0.1.1], [Wło01, Conjecture 0.0.1]. The same proof works over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

The set AV_k of isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over k is a monoid. The Albanese functor mapping a smooth, projective, geometrically integral variety to its Albanese variety induces a homomorphism of monoids $\mathrm{SB}_k \to \mathrm{AV}_k$, since the Albanese variety is a birational invariant, since formation of the Albanese variety commutes with products, and since the Albanese variety of $\mathbb{P}^n$ is trivial. Therefore we obtain a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{SB}_k] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{AV}_k]$.

5. Zerodivisors

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A and B be as in Lemma 3. Then $([A] + [B])([A] - [B]) = 0$ in $K_0(\mathcal{V}_k)$. On the other hand, $[A] + [B]$ and $[A] - [B]$ are nonzero, because their images under the composition

$$K_0(\mathcal{V}_k) \to K_0(\mathcal{V}_{\overline{k}}) \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{SB}_{\overline{k}}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{AV}_{\overline{k}}]$$

are nonzero. (The Albanese variety of an abelian variety is itself.) □

Acknowledgements

I thank Eduard Looijenga and Arthur Ogus for discussions. The package `GP-PARI` was used to perform the calculations in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 2.

References

[AKMW00] Dan Abramovich, Kalle Karu, Kenji Matsuki, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, MPI 1999 - 59, 31 May 2000, arXiv:math.AG/9904135.

[BCDT01] Christophe Breuil, Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, and Richard Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over* $\mathbf{Q}$*: wild 3-adic exercises*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 843–939 (electronic).

[CSS97] Gary Cornell, Joseph H. Silverman, and Glenn Stevens (eds.), *Modular forms and Fermat's last theorem*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, Papers from the Instructional Conference on Number Theory and Arithmetic Geometry held at Boston University, Boston, MA, August 9–18, 1995.

[HZ02] Everett W. Howe and Hui June Zhu, *On the existence of absolutely simple abelian varieties of a given dimension over an arbitrary field*, J. Number Theory **92** (2002), no. 1, 139–163.

[Lau01] Kristin Lauter, *The maximum or minimum number of rational points on curves of genus three over finite fields*, with an appendix by Jean-Pierre Serre, arXiv:math.AG/0104086, 7 April 2001.

[LL01] Michael Larsen and Valery A. Lunts, *Motivic measures and stable birational geometry*, arXiv:math.AG/0110255, 23 October 2001.

[Loo00] Eduard Looijenga, *Motivic measures*, arXiv:math.AG/0006220, 21 October 2000.

[Rib92] Kenneth A. Ribet, *Abelian varieties over* $\mathbf{Q}$ *and modular forms*, Algebra and topology 1992 (Taejŏn), Korea Adv. Inst. Sci. Tech., Taejŏn, 1992, pp. 53–79.

[Shi71] Goro Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11. Iwanami Shoten, Publishers, Tokyo, 1971, Kanô Memorial Lectures, No. 1.

[Shi73] Goro Shimura, *On the factors of the jacobian variety of a modular function field*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 523–544.

[Ste] William Stein, The Modular Forms Database, `http://modular.fas.harvard.edu/Tables`.

[Wło01] Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem*, 22 June 2001, arXiv:math.AG/9904076.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA
E-mail address: `poonen@math.berkeley.edu`